

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: 2001P14020WOUS

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Karl Friedrich Laible  
Application Number: 10/725,088  
Filing Date: 12/01/2003  
Group Art Unit: 3637  
Examiner: Hanh Van Tran  
Title: COLD GOODS CONTAINER FOR A COOLING APPARATUS

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Applicant is in receipt of an Advisory Action dated July 6, 2009 denying entry of applicant's Amendment of June 15, 2009. Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner reconsider the decision to deny entry of the proposed Amendment for the reasons that follow:

In applicant's response of June 15, 2009, applicant merely cancelled claims 3 and 15. No further amendments were made to any of the claims. Thus, the Amendment raised no new issues requiring any further consideration and/or search on the Examiner and, by definition, removes issues for appeal. Accordingly, and with reference to Section 714.13 of the MPEP, applicant urges the Examiner to reconsider and enter the Amendment of June 15, 2009.

In addition, the Examiner indicated that if the Amendment of June 15, 2009 were entered, claim 14 would be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph for failing to clearly define the meets and bounds of the claimed invention. However, claim 14 was not amended in the June 15, 2009 response. On the other hand, the listing of claims that accompanied the response was incorrect in that the word "hook" was inadvertently omitted in claim 14 after the language "has at